

Metric of a Slow Rotating Body with Quadrupole Moment from the Erez-Rosen Metric

Francisco Frutos-Alfaro,¹ Edwin Retana-Montenegro,¹ Iván Cordero-García,¹ and Oscar Ulloa-Esquivel¹

¹*School of Physics, University of Costa Rica, San Pedro 11501, Costa Rica*

A metric representing a slow rotating object with quadrupole moment is obtained using the Newman-Janis formalism to include rotation into the weak limit of the Erez-Rosen metric. This metric is intended to tackle relativistic astrometry and gravitational lensing problems in which a quadrupole moment has to be taken into account.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Dw, 04.20.Jb, 04.70.Bw

I. INTRODUCTION

Newman & Janis (1965) [17] (NJ) discovered a formalism in which a complex transformation was used to obtain the Kerr metric [14] from the Schwarzschild metric. Later, Newman et al. (1965) [16] applied this formalism to the Reissner-Nordström metric to get the so-called Kerr-Newman metric. An explanation for the NJ formalism was given by Drake & Szekeres [9].

The NJ formalism has proven very useful in generating new solutions to the Einstein field equations (EFE). For example, Kim (1999) [15] generated a rotating version of the Bañados-Teitelboim-Zanelli metric [2, 3]. Glass & Krisch (2004) [12] obtained a rotating form for the Kottler metric. Ibohal (2005) [13] generated some new rotating versions from known seed metrics.

The first quadrupole solution to the EFE was found by Erez & Rosen (1959) [10]. Some errors were found in this derivation. These were later corrected by Winicour *et al.* (1968) [25] and Young & Coulter (1969) [26]. Other multipole solutions to the EFE were obtained by Quevedo (1986) [19], Quevedo (1989) [20], Quevedo & Mashhoon [21], and Castejón *et al.* (1990) [7]. These authors used the Ernst formalism [11] to obtain new metrics from a seed metric in which a multipole expansion in the potential generates the multipole terms. The former metrics are characterized by being hard to manipulate analytically and their weak limits are difficult to find. Recently, Boshkayev *et al.* (2012) [4] obtained an approximate solution describing the interior and exterior gravitational field of a slowly rotating and slightly deformed object.

The aim of this article is to derive an appropriate analytical tractable metric for calculations in astrometry and gravitational lens theory including the quadrupole moment in a natural form. For this new rotating metric, is not necessary a multipolar expansion in the potential to include the multipolar terms because the seed metric has already a quadrupole term, that is this metric is multipolar intrinsically.

This paper is organized as follows. In section II, we get the weak limit of the Erez-Rosen. This weak metric has spherical symmetry allowing the application of the NJ formalism. This algorithm cannot be applied to the Erez-Rosen metric directly, because this one is not spherically symmetric [9]. In section III, the NJ formalism is briefly

discussed and applied to the weak limit of the Erez-Rosen metric. In section IV, we transform the obtained metric using Cartesian coordinates. Forthcoming works with this metric are discussed in section V.

II. WEAK LIMIT OF THE EREZ-ROSEN METRIC

The Erez-Rosen metric [6, 25–27] represents a body with quadrupole moment and is given by

$$ds^2 = e^{2\psi} dt^2 - e^{2(\gamma-\psi)} \Delta \left(\frac{dr}{r^2 - 2Mr} + d\theta^2 \right) - e^{-2\psi} \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2, \quad (1)$$

where M is the mass of the object and

$$\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + M^2 \sin^2 \theta, \quad (2)$$

$$\psi = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{q}{4} (3\lambda^2 - 1)(3\mu^2 - 1) \right) \ln \left[\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \right] + \frac{3}{2} q \lambda (3\mu^2 - 1) \right\}, \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma = & \frac{1}{2} (1 + q)^2 \ln \left[\frac{\lambda^2 - 1}{\lambda^2 - \mu^2} \right] \\ & - \frac{3}{2} q (1 - \mu^2) \left[\lambda \ln \left[\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda^2 + 1} \right] + 2 \right] \\ & + \frac{9}{4} q^2 (1 - \mu^2) \left[\frac{1}{16} (\lambda^2 - 1)(\lambda^2 + \mu^2 - 9(\lambda\mu)^2 - 1) \times \right. \\ & \times \left. \left[\ln^2 \left[\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \right] + 2 \right] \right. \\ & + \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(\lambda^2 + 7\mu^2 - 9(\lambda\mu)^2 - \frac{5}{3} \right) \ln \left[\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1} \right] \\ & \left. + \frac{\lambda^2}{4} (1 - 9\mu^2) + \left(\mu^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

with $\lambda = r/m - 1$ and $\mu = \cos \theta$. From now on, we will keep in the derivations terms up to order M^2 and qM^3 . The approximate forms of ψ and γ are

III. ROTATING Á LA NEWMAN-JANIS

The Newman-Janis formalism [8, 9] consists of five steps:

1. Transform the static spherically symmetric seed metric in advanced null coordinates (Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates).
2. Find the null tetrads system that satisfy the contravariant metric tensor.
3. Use the NJ trick on this null tetrad system.
4. Perform the NJ complex transformation on the advanced and the radial coordinates.
5. Find the proper Boyer and Lindquist coordinates transformation on the advanced covariant metric to eliminate the non-diagonal terms, excepting those that contain $dt d\phi$.

Now, we apply the NJ algorithm to the metric (10). The Eddington-Finkelstein advanced coordinate for our case is given by

$$dv = dt + \frac{dr}{\mathcal{F}^2}. \quad (12)$$

Substituting (12) in (10), the advanced metric may be written as

$$ds^2 = \mathcal{F} dv^2 - 2dvdr - (r^2 - 2Mr) \frac{d\Sigma^2}{\mathcal{F}}. \quad (13)$$

The inverse metric is given by

$$g^{ab} = -(\delta_0^a \delta_1^b + \delta_1^a \delta_0^b) - \mathcal{F} \delta_1^a \delta_1^b - \frac{1}{r^2 \mathcal{C}^2} \delta_2^a \delta_2^b - \frac{1}{r^2 \mathcal{C}^2 \sin^2 \theta} \delta_3^a \delta_3^b. \quad (14)$$

The vierbein or tetrads for this metric are the following expressions

$$\begin{aligned} l^a &= \delta_1^a, \\ n^a &= -\left[\delta_0^a + \frac{\mathcal{F}}{2} \delta_1^a \right], \\ m^a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\mathcal{C}r} \left(\delta_2^a + i \frac{\delta_3^a}{\sin \theta} \right), \\ \bar{m}^a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\mathcal{C}r} \left(\delta_2^a - i \frac{\delta_3^a}{\sin \theta} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The complex trick coordinate require to transform the metric using the following coordinates instead of (v, r, θ, ϕ) :

$$\psi = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) - \frac{2}{15} q \frac{M^3}{r^3} P_2(\cos \theta) + O(M^3, a^2, q^2 M^6), \quad (5)$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{r^2 - 2Mr}{\Delta} \right) + O(M^3, a^2, q^2 M^6), \quad (6)$$

where $q = 15GQ/(2c^2 M^3)$ with Q representing the quadrupole moment, and $P_2(\cos \theta) = (3 \cos^2 \theta - 1)/2$ is the second Legendre polynomial.

Defining the following variables

$$\mathcal{C} := e^{-\frac{2}{15} q \frac{M^3}{r^3} P_2(\cos \theta)} \simeq 1 - \frac{2}{15} q \frac{M^3}{r^3} P_2(\cos \theta) + O(M^3, a^2, q^2 M^6), \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} := e^{2\psi} &= \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) \mathcal{C}^2 \\ &\simeq 1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{4}{15} q \frac{M^3}{r^3} P_2(\cos \theta) \\ &\quad + O(M^3, a^2, q^2 M^6), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\mathcal{G} := e^{2\gamma} \simeq \frac{r^2 - 2Mr}{\Delta} + O(M^3, a^2, q^2 M^6). \quad (9)$$

If we substitute the former definitions into (1), the metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = \mathcal{F} dt^2 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}} \left[dr^2 + r^2 \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) d\Sigma^2 \right], \quad (10)$$

where $d\Sigma^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2$, and the inverse of $\mathcal{F}$ is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}} &= \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}^2} \simeq 1 + \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{4M^2}{r^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{15} q \frac{M^3}{r^3} P_2(\cos \theta) + O(M^3, a^2, q^2 M^6). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We remark that the spherical symmetry is presented in the weak limit, and with this requirement fulfilled [9] it is possible to apply the NJ formalism to the Erez-Rosen metric (10).

$$\begin{aligned}
v &\rightarrow \tilde{v} = v + ia \cos \theta, \\
r &\rightarrow \tilde{r} = r + ia \cos \theta, \\
\theta &\rightarrow \theta, \\
\phi &\rightarrow \phi,
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

and r is supposed to be complex. In our case, as in the Schwarzschild case, we only have to change the expression $1 - 2M/r$ as follows

$$1 - \frac{2M}{r} \rightarrow 1 - M \left[\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{\bar{r}} \right] \rightarrow 1 - \frac{2M\tilde{r}}{\tilde{\rho}^2}, \tag{17}$$

where $\tilde{\rho}^2 = \tilde{r}^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta$.

The transformed tetrads are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
L^a &= \delta_1^a, \\
N^a &= - \left[\delta_0^a + \frac{1}{2\mathcal{C}^2} \left(1 - \frac{2M\tilde{r}}{\tilde{\rho}^2} \right) \delta_1^a \right], \\
M^a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\mathcal{C}(\tilde{r} + ia \cos \theta)} (-ia \sin \theta (\delta_0^a + \delta_1^a) \\
&\quad + \delta_2^a + i \frac{\delta_3^a}{\sin \theta}), \\
\bar{M}^a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\mathcal{C}(\tilde{r} - ia \cos \theta)} (ia \sin \theta (\delta_0^a + \delta_1^a) + \delta_2^a \\
&\quad - i \frac{\delta_3^a}{\sin \theta}).
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

The new advanced metric can be obtained by means of

$$g^{ab} = L^a N^b + L^b N^a - (M^a \bar{M}^b + M^b \bar{M}^a). \tag{19}$$

After some manipulations, the result for (19) is

$$\begin{aligned}
g^{ab} &= -\frac{a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2 \mathcal{C}^2} \delta_0^a \delta_0^b - \left(1 + \frac{a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2 \mathcal{C}^2} \right) [\delta_0^a \delta_1^b + \delta_0^b \delta_1^a] \\
&\quad + \frac{a}{\tilde{\rho}^2 \mathcal{C}^2} (\delta_0^a \delta_3^b + \delta_0^b \delta_3^a) - \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}^2 \mathcal{C}^2} (\tilde{\rho}^2 - 2M\tilde{r} \\
&\quad + a^2 \sin^2 \theta) \delta_1^a \delta_1^b + \frac{a}{\tilde{\rho}^2 \mathcal{C}^2} (\delta_1^a \delta_3^b + \delta_1^b \delta_3^a) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}^2 \mathcal{C}^2} \delta_2^a \delta_2^b - \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}^2 \mathcal{C}^2 \sin^2 \theta} \delta_3^a \delta_3^b.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Then, the new advanced metric is

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}^2} \left(1 - \frac{2M\tilde{r}}{\tilde{\rho}^2} \right) d\tilde{v}^2 - 2d\tilde{v}d\tilde{r} \\
&\quad + 2a \sin^2 \theta \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{C}^2} - 1 - \frac{2M\tilde{r}}{\tilde{\rho}^2 \mathcal{C}^2} \right) d\tilde{v}d\phi \\
&\quad - 2a \sin^2 \theta d\tilde{r}d\phi - \tilde{\rho}^2 \mathcal{C}^2 d\theta^2 \\
&\quad - \sin^2 \theta \left(\mathcal{C}^2 [\tilde{r}^2 + a^2] + \frac{2Ma^2\tilde{r}}{\tilde{\rho}^2 \mathcal{C}^2} \sin^2 \theta \right) d\phi^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

The new Boyer-Lindquist coordinates [5] are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
d\tilde{v} &= dt + \mathcal{C}^2 (\tilde{r}^2 + a^2) \frac{d\tilde{r}}{\Delta}, \\
d\tilde{\phi} &= d\phi - \frac{a d\tilde{r}}{\Delta},
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where $\Delta = \tilde{r}^2 - 2M\tilde{r} + a^2$.

After eliminating terms such as a^2 or $M^2 a$ and dropping the tilde on r and ϕ , the new rotating metric with quadrupole moment written in standart form [24] in spherical coordinates is

$$ds^2 = \mathcal{F} dt^2 + \frac{4J}{r} \sin^2 \theta dt d\phi - \frac{dr^2}{\mathcal{F}} - r^2 \mathcal{C}^2 d\Sigma^2. \tag{23}$$

where $J = Ma$ is the angular momentum. $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ are given by (7), (8) and (11), respectively.

IV. THE METRIC

In some cases, the metric (23) has to be transform from spherical (r, θ, ϕ) into Cartesian coordinates (x, y, z) . For example, if a comparison with a post-Newtonian (PN) metric is made, we have to transform the metric (23) by using one of the following radial coordinates transformation: the harmonic or the isotropic coordinates of Schwarzschild metric. The first one is $r = \bar{r} + M$, and the second one is $r = \bar{r}(1 + M/2\bar{r})^2$, where $\bar{r}$ is a new radial coordinate [24]. We choose the first one, then the metric (23) is transformed into

$$ds^2 = \mathcal{H} dt^2 + \frac{4J}{\bar{r}} \sin^2 \theta dt d\phi - \frac{dr^2}{\mathcal{H}} - r^2 \mathcal{C}^2 d\Sigma^2, \tag{24}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H} &= \left(\frac{1 - \frac{M}{\bar{r}}}{1 + \frac{M}{\bar{r}}} \right) \mathcal{C}^2 \simeq 1 - \frac{2M}{\bar{r}} + \frac{2M^2}{\bar{r}^2} \\
&\quad - \frac{4}{15} q \frac{M^3}{\bar{r}^3} P_2(\cos \theta) + O(M^3, a^2, q^2 M^6),
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} &= \left(\frac{1 + \frac{M}{\bar{r}}}{1 - \frac{M}{\bar{r}}} \right) \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}^2} \simeq 1 + \frac{2M}{\bar{r}} + \frac{2M^2}{\bar{r}^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{4}{15} q \frac{M^3}{\bar{r}^3} P_2(\cos \theta) + O(M^3, a^2, q^2 M^6).
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Noting that $\mathcal{C}$ is still given by (7) with r changed by $\bar{r}$. Now, eliminating the bar on r , we transform the metric (24) into the Cartesian coordinates which are given by the usual relations

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= r \sin \theta \cos \phi, \\
y &= r \sin \theta \sin \phi, \\
z &= r \cos \theta.
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

The resulting metric has the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= \mathcal{H} dt^2 + \frac{4J}{r^3} dt(xdy - ydx) \\
&\quad - \frac{\chi}{r^2} (\mathbf{x} \cdot d\mathbf{x})^2 - \left(1 + \frac{M}{r}\right)^2 \mathcal{C}^2 d\mathbf{x}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} - \left(1 + \frac{M}{r}\right)^2 \mathcal{C}^2 \\
&\simeq M^2 r^2 + \frac{8}{15} q \frac{M^3}{\bar{r}^3} P_2(\cos \theta) \\
&\quad + O(M^3, a^2, q^2 M^6).
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

The former metric can be generalized as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= \mathcal{H} dt^2 - 8 \mathbf{V} \cdot d\mathbf{x} dt \\
&\quad - \frac{\chi}{r^2} (\mathbf{x} \cdot d\mathbf{x})^2 - \left(1 + \frac{M}{r}\right)^2 \mathcal{C}^2 d\mathbf{x}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(1 + \frac{M}{r}\right)^2 \mathcal{C}^2 &\simeq 1 + \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{M^2}{r^2} - \frac{4}{15} q \frac{M^3}{\bar{r}^3} P_2(\cos \theta) \\
&\quad + O(M^3, a^2, q^2 M^6),
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

and the vector $\mathbf{V}$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{V} = \frac{G}{2c^3 r^3} [\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{x}], \tag{32}$$

with $\mathbf{J} = J \hat{\mathbf{e}}_J$ ($\hat{\mathbf{e}}_J$ an unit vector in the direction of $\mathbf{J}$). The expressions for $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{-1}$ are valid changing $\bar{r}$ by r in eqs. (25) and (26).

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we include the rotational effect from the weak limit in the Erez-Rosen metric using the NJ formalism. Thus, a new metric with quadrupole moment and rotation in the weak limit is obtained. Generally speaking, the quadrupole moment is included in the metric, for instance, in gravitational lensing, through the expansion of the gravitational potential in a power series. The resulting metric from our calculations includes the quadrupole moment in a natural form and is similar to the exterior metric obtained by Boshkayev *et al.* [4]

The new metric has many applications. For example, in calculations involving relativistic astrometry or in gravitational lens theory, it is useful to have a metric that include rotation and quadrupole moment. In relativistic astrometry, one needs a post-Newtonian metric to get after some approximations for the deflection angle. It allows to get expressions for the right ascension α and declination δ for a celestial body [22, 23] in the gravitational field including rotation and quadrupole moment. In gravitational lens theory, the deflection angle [1, 18] can be used to obtain the lens equation, thereby the lensing properties for this new metric with intrinsic gravitational quadrupole may be studied. This will be the aim of a forthcoming work.

-
- [1] Asada, H., Kasai, M., and Yamamoto, T., Phys. Rev. D **67**, 043006 (2003).
 - [2] Bañados, M., Henneaux, M., Teitelboim, C., and Zanelli, J., Phys. Rev. D **48**, 1506 (1993).
 - [3] Bañados, M., Teitelboim, C., and Zanelli, J., Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1849 (1992).
 - [4] Boshkayev, K., Quevedo, H., and Ruffini, R., accepted in Phys. Rev. D (arXiv:1207.3043) **86** (2012).
 - [5] Boyer, R. H. and Lindquist, R. W., J. Math. Phys. **8**, 265 (1967).
 - [6] Carmeli, M., *Classical Fields* (Dover Publications, 2001) ISBN 9810247877.
 - [7] Castejon-Amenedo, J. and Manko, V. S., Phys. Rev. D **41**, 2018 (1990).
 - [8] d’Inverno, R., *Introducing Einstein’s Relativity* (Oxford University Press, USA, 1992) ISBN 0198596863.
 - [9] Drake, S. P. and Szekeres, P., Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **32**, 445 (2000).
 - [10] Erez, G. and Rosen, N., Bull. Res. Council Israel **8F**, 47 (1959).
 - [11] Ernst, F. J., Phys. Rev. **167**, 1175 (1968).
 - [12] Glass, E. N. and Krisch, J. P., Classical and Quantum Gravity **21**, 5543 (2004).
 - [13] Ibohal, N., Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **37**, 19 (2005), ISSN 0001-7701, 10.1007/s10714-005-0002-6.
 - [14] Kerr, R. P., Phys. Rev. Lett. **11**, 237 (1963).
 - [15] Kim, H., Phys. Rev. D **59**, 064002 (1999).
 - [16] Newman, E., Couch, E., Chinnapared, K., Exton, A., Prakash, A., and Torrence, R., J. Math. Phys. **6**, 918 (1965).
 - [17] Newman, E. T. and Janis, A. I., J. Math. Phys. **6**, 915 (1965).
 - [18] Páez, J. and Frutos, F., Astrophysics and Space Science **214**, 71 (1994), ISSN 0004-640X.
 - [19] Quevedo, H., Phys. Rev. D **33**, 324 (1986).
 - [20] Quevedo, H., Phys. Rev. D **39**, 2904 (1989).

- [21] Quevedo, H. and Mashhoon, B., Phys. Rev. D **43**, 3902 (1991).
- [22] Soffel, M., Schastok, J., Ruder, H., and Schneider, M., APSS **110**, 95 (1985).
- [23] Soffel, M. H., *Relativity in Astrometry, Celestial Mechanics and Geodesy (Astronomy and Astrophysics Library)* (Springer-Verlag, 1989) ISBN 0387189068.
- [24] Weinberg, S., *Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity* (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1972) ISBN 0471925675.
- [25] Winicour, J., Janis, A. I., and Newman, E. T., Phys. Rev. **176**, 1507 (1968).
- [26] Young, J. H. and Coulter, C. A., Phys. Rev. **184**, 1313 (1969).
- [27] Zel'dovich, Y. B. and Novikov, I. D., *Stars and Relativity* (Dover Publications, 2011) ISBN 0486694240.